Edip Yuksel vs. Ali Sina Round 6 Part 20

Edip Yuksel vs. Ali Sina

Round VI -20

Back < > Next

I see the role of FFI as a catalyst or a peacemaker. This is a time that we humans must put aside our differences and come together to fight Islam. This anti human ideology of hate, this last bastion of evil, this great menace to the survival of humanity must be defeated or we all lose our humanity.

The job of FFI is twofold. One is to educate the humans and make them see the gravity of time. Make them aware of the danger that is hovering over their heads and make them realize that their only hope for survival is to stick together and fight their common enemy. The debate about whose religion is better must cease. The finger pointing and fault finding must end. Today we all must jointly face our enemy and destroy it before it can destroy us.

Our other task is to rescue the humans among the Muslims and make them enter into the fold of humanity. The majority of Muslims are salvageable. The majority of them are humans just like the rest of us. They abhor killing and violence. Their hearts are filled with love for the rest of the people. They are just like us. They ARE us. These are the people we have to reach and rescue. Time is of the essence and we can't afford waiting for another day. Everyone must do his or her share and everyone must reach out to these good people caught in the claws of Islam and set them free. Those who still cling to Islam and cherish these savageries, who rejoice when they hear their brothers have murdered innocent people are not humans. They are terrorists and terrorist sympathizers. They are the enemies of mankind. They must be stopped. They must be dealt with the way Quran says unbelievers should be dealt with.

Interestingly when I wrote this, the same Submitter who thought I should be killed because what I say about Islam is controversial highlighted my words in red and wrote the following:

Here is the real hypocrisy of Ali in a couple of sentences.

Ali Sina wrote:
That is the trait of your bloody prophet and his zombie followers. I believe in the rule of law and in justice. I want the laws changed and Muslims be treated exactly the same way their Quran says dhimmis should be treated. That is the best punishment for them.

Ali wants to switch roles - he wants to take on the role of those he declares hatred for. He wants to do the things he allegedly hates. That is clear-cut unadulterated hypocrisy. Where is the Golden Rule here?

In this debate there is only one hypocrite - and he is Ali Sina - and his posts make that abundantly clear.

Any reasonable person should see this.

Ali Sina is an exposed hypocrite.

Isn't this an admission that Quran's treatment of the non-Muslims is inhumane and barbaric? all I said is to treat Muslims the way the Quran says the kafirs should be treated and this Muslim was noticeably upset. He highlighted my words to show how heartless and hypocrite I am. Doesn't this prove that Muslims know very well that the treatment of the kafirs according to the Quran is barbaric and unjust? Doesn't this prove that they lie when they say Quran is just? Do we need more proof? The same person added:

The 'dhimmitude' that Ali depicts is unfortunately a reality that I agree must be eliminated.

My point was that Ali detests and is full of hatred for the present treatment of 'dhimmi' - it is a hated thing.

But he is advocating this hated thing for others as "punishment".

Of course I detest the way non believers are treated in Islam. Oddly, this Muslim see is too. However isn't the Quran responsible for this inhumane treatment? We already quoted so many verses from the Quran that talks about the slaying of the unbelievers. The verse 9:29 that we read in Sura Bara't establishes the way the Christians and Jews or the so called people of the Book should be treated. It says .

"Fight those who believe not in God nor the Last Day, nor hold that forbidden which hath been forbidden by God and His Apostle, nor acknowledge the religion of Truth, (even if they are) of the People of the Book, until they pay the Jizya with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued".

I would like to pose this question to Edip. Do you agree with your friend that the treatment of the dhimmis in Islam is wrong and dhimitude must be eliminated? Do you agree that the verse 9:29 is unjust?

Edip Yuksel continued writing about me:

> He has no integrity in his position, since he justifies some atrocities, terror, murder, deception and opposes some based on the identity or religion of their doers. So, he already lost his claim of being a HUMANIST; he is an Evangelical Crusader!

All I am asking is that Muslims in non-Muslim countries be dealt with according to their own holy book. You say this is justifying atrocities, terror, murder, deception based on religion. You say by advocating the Islamic tenets I have no integrity and have lost my claim of being a humanist. If you truly believe this is the case why you defend this book? Why you think non-Muslims should be subjected to these inhumane teachings? Your fellow Submitter protested:

> What Ali espouses is not the Golden Rule at all, but the tit-for-tat rule.
>
> Note that the tit-for-tat rule advocates- "Do unto others as they do unto you" - and this is Ali's position. A position that the "church of Satan" holds dearly.
>
> The Golden Rule is rather - "Do unto others as you would have them do unto you."
>
> Ali is therefore either confused in relation to the Golden Rule or a liar.

No, I am neither confused nor a liar. I want Muslims to have a taste of the same medicine they prescribe for others. This Muslim is of the opinion that dealing with Muslims the way his prophet says non-Muslims should be treated is "tit-for-tat" and a position of the "church of Satan". He says the "The Golden Rule is rather - Do unto others as you would have them do unto you." Isn't that something? He is fully aware that the way Islam treats others is utterly inhumane and satanic.

When I read the Quran and learned about these barbarities I could no more accept Muhammad as prophet of God. I denounced him as an impostor and set out to expose the deception of Islam. I thought if I don't want to be treated like a kafir or a dhimmi then I don't want to be a Muslim. Our Muslim friend also does not want to be treated like a kafir or a dhimmi, but instead of denouncing this sick ideology of hate and apartheid, instead of

following the Golden Rule, he is asking the non-Muslims to live by the Golden Rule and treat Muslims they way they want to be treated while as a Muslim he is pushing the violent and discriminatory Quranic agenda.

This is the problem with Islam and Muslims. They demand that you treat them the way you want to be treated and live by the Golden Rule but they do not see themselves bound by the same rules. They feel that by virtue of having embraced the "religion of truth", they are the master race and hence entitled to treat you like animal, slay you, subdue you, rape your women, enslave you and reduce you into dhimitude. It is utterly shocking that a true Muslim feels no compunction in doing all that. To assume that Muslims have human thoughts and emotions is a gross mistake. They are simply bereft of human feelings. If they are true Muslims they rejoice and celebrate when they hear the news of your death. Spiritually Muslims are so much underdeveloped that you could say they belong another species..

The mind of Muslims reflect the mind of their prophet and Muhammad being a pathological narcissist, genuinely believed that he is entitled to special treatment. His followers evince the same pathology. Muslims truly believe that it is their right to come to the West, to use the benefits offered to them, take advantage of all the bounties provided to them thanks to their tax-paying hosts and return nothing in exchange. There is not a single Muslim who would question the absurdity of the fact that non-Muslims are not allowed to practice or preach

their faith in Islamic countries. If you ask them why non-Muslims cannot visit Mecca or Medina, they look at you as if you have asked the most absurd question. Muslims treat non-Muslims with disdain but they expect to be received with utmost respect and even preferentially and they feel no compunction in that. You can never win the hearts and minds of Muslims by being kind to them. They consider your kindness and generosity as their right and your duty.

No my respected and erudite friend Edip! You are mistaken. I do have integrity and that is why I am an not a Muslim. I merely say deal with Muslims the way Quran prescribes the non-believers should be dealt with. Why are you so concerned about this? Don't you believe in the justice of the Quran? Don't you think the Quran is merciful?

I am not an evangelical crusader. I am a human, fighting for the human cause. I see all humans as as my brothers and sisters. But if someone thinks I must die for what I say, I will have to defend myself. Between Theo Van Gogh and his assassin **Mohammed** Bouyeri, I choose Theo Van Gogh.

Van Gogh was butchered because a subhuman fellow coreligionist of yours believed in the very book you call "miracle". He did what that psychopath you call prophet told him to do.

This man and many more are beheaded because some of your subhuman coreligionists believe in this verse:

8:12, I will instill terror into the hearts of the unbelievers: **smite ye above their necks** and smite all their finger-tips off them.

Why? Don't you think non-Muslims should start applying these wonderful teachings on Muslims? So please stop this game of deception. Come back to the fold of humanity, denounce the Quran and the savagery that it teaches. This is a satanic book. If you don't

want to be treated the way Quran says non-Muslims should be treated, why you follow this book? Abraham Lincoln said: "As I would not be a slave, so I would not be a master". Abraham Lincoln was a good man. He understood the Golden Rule and he lived by it. Are you capable of doing the same?